

# Audit Progress Report

City of Bradford Metropolitan District  
Council

January 2024



# Contents

1. Audit Progress
2. National publications

# 01

Section 01:  
**Audit progress**

# 1. Audit progress

## **Purpose of this report**

This report provides the January 2024 Governance and Audit Committee meeting with an update on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. It also provides, in Section 2, a summary of recent national reports and publications for your information.

We understand from our discussions with the Chief Executive and our review of the Executive agenda papers that the Council has reversed its decision to backdate its change to its Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) policy to 2021/22 and earlier years.

## **2020/21 Audit**

We intend to issue our audit certificate for the 2020/21 audit following confirmation that the MRP changes will not impact on 2020/21.

## **2021/22 Audit**

We are awaiting receipt of updated 2021/22 financial statements, removing the backdated MRP changes. On receipt of the updated accounts we will carry out our finalisation procedures and will issue the audit report on the financial statements.

On completion of the financial statements audit, we also intend to report our commentary on the Council's value for money arrangements. We expect to report two significant weaknesses in arrangements relating to children's services, and the Council's financial sustainability.

# 1. Audit progress (continued)

## 2022/23 Audit

### **Our Audit Approach**

Our audit work is progressing well. Subject to satisfactory resolution of audit queries, we intend to present our Audit Completion Report to the next meeting of the Governance and Audit Committee. We have included an update below on some of the key aspects of our audit to give the Committee assurance on the progress and matters arising.

### **Significant risks and key areas of management judgement**

We continually update our risk assessment, since we issued our Audit Strategy Memorandum, we have updated our risk assessment in relation to two items within the financial statements as a consequence of the Council's reported financial position, these are:

- Revenue Expenditure Funded by Capital under Statute (REFCUS); and
- Capital expenditure.

We have identified these areas as a key area of management judgement and consequently increased our assessment of the risk of material misstatement. We have carried out our testing based on this increased level of risk. The work on REFCUS and capital expenditure is complete and there are no matters to report to Committee.

### **Materiality**

Our provisional materiality at the planning stage of the audit was set at £25.7m based on 2% of gross expenditure in the previous year's accounts. We updated our materiality assessment on receipt of the draft accounts, and this increased our materiality level to £29.3m.

# 1. Audit progress (continued)

## Significant findings

We have provided a summary below for the Committee of the current position of our work on each of the significant risks and key areas of management judgements identified for the audit:

Significant Risk	Current position
<p><b>Management override of controls</b></p> <p>This is a mandatory significant risk on all audits due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur.</p> <p>Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.</p>	<p>We have completed our planned work to address this risk and have not identified any instances of the risk having materialised in year.</p>
<p><b>Net defined benefit pension asset valuation</b></p> <p>The net defined pension asset represents a material element of the Council balance sheet. The Council administers the West Yorkshire Pension Fund, which had its last triennial valuation completed as at 31 March 2022. The valuation of the Local Government Pension Scheme relies on a number of assumptions, most notably around the actuarial assumptions, and actuarial methodology which results in the Council's overall valuations. There are financial assumptions and demographic assumptions used in the calculation of the valuation, such as the discount rate, inflation rates and mortality rates. The assumptions should also reflect the profile of the Council's employees and should be based on appropriate data. The basis of the assumptions is derived on a consistent basis year to year or updated to reflect any changes.</p> <p>There is a risk that the assumptions and methodology used in valuing the pension obligations are not reasonable or appropriate to the Council's circumstances. This could have a material impact to the Council net pension asset/ liability in 2022/23.</p> <p>The pension scheme is expected to be in surplus in 2022/23. The pension asset is a complex calculation which incorporates a number of accounting standards including IAS19 and IFRIC 14, as well as a requirement to calculate a pension asset ceiling. This is the first time this accounting will have been undertaken.</p>	<p>Our work on the net defined pension asset is continuing and we expect to complete this work in the near future.</p>

# 1. Audit progress (continued)

## Significant findings (continued)

Significant Risk	Current position
<p><b>Valuation of property, plant and equipment and Investment Property</b></p> <p>Council dwellings, infrastructure assets, other land and buildings were the Council's highest value assets totalling £809.8 million (£826.0 million in 2020/21). The balance sheet also included investment properties totalling £51.9 million (£46.1 million in 2020/21).</p> <p>Per the CIPFA Code, each of these class of asset requires that where assets are subject to revaluation, their year-end carrying value should reflect the appropriate fair value at that date.</p> <p>Management engages its own Valuer as an expert to assist in determining the fair value of land and buildings to be included in the financial statements but there remains a high degree of estimation uncertainty associated with the valuation of land and buildings due to the significant judgements and number of variables involved.</p>	<p>Our work is progressing well with many areas of testing complete.</p> <p>Work ongoing includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We are awaiting evidence from the Council's internal valuer to support our testing of assets valued in year.</li> <li>• We are awaiting the response from the valuer to our standard requests on the competence, skills and objectivity of the valuer.</li> <li>• We are awaiting further evidence from management in respect of challenge of valuations in year and non-revalued assets.</li> </ul>
Key area of management judgement	Current position
<p><b>Revenue Expenditure Funded by Capital under Statute (REFCUS)</b></p> <p>This expenditure is accounted for as capital expenditure and is charged to the General Fund over time rather than in the year of expenditure. There is a risk that revenue expenditure is misclassified REFCUS understating the expenditure charged in year.</p>	<p>The work on REFCUS is complete and there are no matters to report to Committee.</p>
<p><b>Capital expenditure</b></p> <p>There is a risk that revenue expenditure is incorrectly classified as capital expenditure, understating the revenue expenditure charged in the year.</p>	<p>The work on capital expenditure is complete and there are no matters to report to Committee.</p>

# 02

Section 02:

**National publications**



# National publications

This section highlights recent national publications that may be of interest to Members of the Committee. If you require any additional information, please contact any member of your engagement team.

We have, in the tables that follow, provided a brief insight into the purpose/key points of the publications with indicative relevance and/or suggested action using the following RAG ratings:

- Action required
- Action suggested
- For information only.

	Publication/update	Key points	Action
<b>National Audit Office (NAO)</b>			
1.	Investigation into the Homes for Ukraine scheme, October 2023	This report aims to increase transparency by taking stock of what has been achieved to date, for what cost, and what can be learned.	●
2.	Reducing the harm from illegal drugs, October 2023	This report examines whether the government is well positioned to achieve the strategy's 10-year ambitions.	●
3.	Reforming adult social care in England, 10 November 2023	This report looks at how DHSC is responding to the challenges facing adult social care in England, and its progress with delivering the reforms set out in the 2021 white paper.	●
4.	Resilience to flooding, 15 November 2023	NAO last reported on government's management of flood risk in November 2020. In this report, we look at the government's long-term ambition "to create a nation more resilient to future flood and coastal erosion risk" and, in the more immediate term, whether Defra and EA are delivering value for money after two years of the capital programme. To do this, we have assessed Defra's progress against the backdrop of its 2020 policy statement and EA's 2020 strategy. We also assess EA's performance in maintaining existing flood defence assets.	●
<b>Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA)</b>			
5.	PSAA announces the number of audit opinions completed for the 2022/23 audits (10 October 2023)	At the publishing date of 30 September 2023, only 5 out of 467 local government bodies' 2022/23 audit opinions have been given. This adds to the 456 that are outstanding from previous years.	●
6.	PSAA October 2023 Update, 17 October 2023	This edition of our quarterly e-bulletin includes an update on the 2022/23 audit opinion delivery, news on our consultation on 2023/24 audit scale fees and a summary of local audit news from elsewhere.	●

# National publications (continued)

	Publication/update	Key points	Action
<b>Chartered Institute of Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA)</b>			
7.	Section 114s: where are we headed next? 16 August 2023	Rob Whiteman, CIPFA CEO assesses the latest position on s114 notices (where formal action needs to be taken to balance a Council’s finances), what has been done to prevent further s114 notices, whether more will occur and what the sector should do. This originally appeared as an article in the Municipal Journal on 31 July 2023.	●
<b>Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC)</b>			
8.	Municipal Journal Article by a Local Government Minister on Rebuilding Audit, 30 October 2023	An article by Lee Rowley MP in Municipal Journal and the most up-to-date statement at the current time of proposals to address the backlog of local government audits.	●

# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## National Audit Office

### 1. Investigation into the Homes for Ukraine scheme – October 2023

The UK government launched the Homes for Ukraine scheme (the scheme) on 14 March 2022, following the Russian invasion of Ukraine in February 2022. The scheme enables people in the UK to act as sponsors for Ukrainian nationals and their families seeking refuge from the war, with individuals being granted three-year visas to stay in the UK, with full access to public services, benefits, and other support.

Any adult is able to act as a sponsor, providing they pass eligibility checks conducted by the Home Office and local authorities. Sponsors must commit to hosting for a minimum of six months and can claim thank you payments from government for providing suitable accommodation for Ukrainians to live in of £350 per month for the first 12 months, and then £500 for the next 12 months. In addition, the local authority where the sponsor is based receives a one-off payment of £10,500 per arrival (reduced to £5,900 for all arrivals after 31 December 2022) to help with support and integration needs.

The scheme is jointly run by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities (DLUHC) and the Home Office, who established a joint taskforce in March 2022. The Home Office primarily leads on operational matters relating to the processing of visas and checks on the suitability of the sponsor. DLUHC leads on all aspects of the scheme from the point of arrival of Ukrainians into the UK, working closely with local authorities and devolved governments.

#### Scope of the report

The first people to arrive in the UK under the scheme are now halfway through their permitted stay, and the emergency phase of the UK government's response to the Ukraine refugee crisis has come to a close. This report aims to increase transparency by taking stock of what has been achieved to date, for what cost, and what can be learned. The report sets out:

- how the scheme was set up at speed and the scheme objectives
- arrival numbers and the checks conducted on applicants and sponsors
- the funding provided
- challenges and future risks with the scheme

This investigation does not seek to examine and report on the value for money of the scheme.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/reports/investigation-into-the-homes-for-ukraine-scheme/>

# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## National Audit Office

### 2. Reducing the harm from illegal drugs – October 2023

#### Scope of the report

It is almost two years since the government introduced its latest drugs strategy and less than 18 months remain in the current funding period to March 2025. This report examines whether the government is well positioned to achieve the strategy's 10-year ambitions. It covers:

- the development of the 2021 drugs strategy, its objectives and funding
- progress in implementing the strategy
- the approach to achieving the strategy's long-term outcomes

It is too early to conclude whether the 2021 strategy will reduce the harm from illegal drugs. It will take time for new funding and interventions to address a complex set of issues, and many of the indicators used to measure progress lag behind activity. This report therefore assesses whether departments are making the planned progress in implementing the strategy, and whether the JCDU has an effective approach to understanding the impact it is having and managing the risks to achieving the strategy's aims. It does not examine the effectiveness of interventions at the local level.

#### Conclusions

In 2021 the government estimated that the harm caused by illegal drugs costs society £20 billion each year. Its 2021 drugs strategy, led by the cross-government Joint Combating Drugs Unit, has provided new impetus to efforts to address these harms, and committed £900 million to 2024-25.

The strategy has established new partnerships across central and local government, and local authorities are taking steps to rebuild the workforce that was lost over the past decade. But these measures alone will not address all of the barriers to achieving a long-term reduction in drug use, deaths and related crime. The issues are complex and will require a sustained long-term response.

To inform government's response, the JCDU and relevant departments need to develop a deeper understanding of the impacts of government spending, working closely with local service providers to understand and help address the practical challenges they face. The JCDU and departments need to be realistic about what is achievable in the first three years and assess how to adapt their approach to achieve the strategy's 10-year outcomes.

In doing so, the JCDU should seek to provide confidence to local government that this is a long-term commitment. It must also urgently develop a plan to reduce the demand for illegal drugs. The current lack of emphasis on preventing illegal drug use means that departments risk only addressing the consequences, rather than the causes, of harm. The government will only achieve value for money if it builds on the initial momentum of the new strategy and develops a longer-term, funded plan that delivers a joined-up, holistic response.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/reports/reducing-the-harm-from-illegal-drugs/>

# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## National Audit Office

### 3. Reforming adult social care in England, 10 November 2023

This report looks at how DHSC is responding to the challenges facing adult social care in England, and its progress with delivering the reforms set out in the 2021 white paper.

This report examined:

- key pressures and challenges in adult social care in England
- DHSC's response to increasing pressures in adult social care during 2022
- how DHSC is delivering reform and progress against its commitments

DHSC's 10-year vision for adult social care reform was broadly welcomed by the sector as a step forward. But rising inflation compounded long-standing pressures and led DHSC to reprioritise money and activity to provide local authorities and care providers with some much-needed financial stability.

The sector remains challenged by chronic workforce shortages, long waiting lists for care and fragile provider and local authority finances. Although there are some early signs of improvement in some of these, it remains to be seen whether these trends will continue and at what cost.

Two years into its 10-year plan, DHSC has delayed its charging reforms, scaled back system reform, and is behind on some aspects of its revised plan. It has a long way to go if it is to deliver its ambitions. If DHSC is to successfully reform adult social care, it will need to manage some significant risks, including its own capacity and that of local government to resume charging reform activity alongside system reform.

To maximise its chances of succeeding, DHSC will need to make sure it understands how the different strands of its reforms relate to each other, and the cumulative impact on local authorities and other stakeholders. It must be clear what the critical steps are, manage delivery against those closely and put in place governance needed to manage delivery risks effectively.

Adult social care reform has been an intractable political challenge for decades, and in 2019 DHSC raised expectations that it would be addressed. Working with the sector, DHSC now needs to demonstrate how it is delivering on these plans.

Link to further information:

<https://www.nao.org.uk/reports/reforming-adult-social-care-in-england/>

# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## National Audit Office

### 4. Resilience to flooding, 15 November 2023

NAO last reported on government's management of flood risk in November 2020. In this report, we look at the government's long-term ambition "to create a nation more resilient to future flood and coastal erosion risk" and, in the more immediate term, whether Defra and EA are delivering value for money after two years of the capital programme. To do this, we have assessed Defra's progress against the backdrop of its 2020 policy statement and EA's 2020 strategy. We also assess EA's performance in maintaining existing flood defence assets.

The report covers:

- the government's long-term ambition and objectives and Defra's governance, understanding and management of flood risk
- progress on the capital programme to build new flood defences and risks to future delivery
- EA's performance in maintaining flood defence assets

To combat the growing dangers from flooding, the government has doubled its capital funding in England for the six years to 2027. To manage the larger capital programme and record levels of investment, Defra has intensified its scrutiny and is taking steps with EA to develop a more granular understanding of flood risk.

However, the capital funding is forecast to deliver protection to far fewer properties by 2027 than was promised when the capital programme was launched. Due to underspending in the first two years of the programme, EA will need to achieve record levels of investment in the remaining four years of the programme to spend the full £5.2 billion allocated to the programme. There is a risk that value for money will be further eroded if projects are accelerated or new projects are introduced too quickly to meet this level of investment.

On top of this, EA's maintenance of its assets is not optimising value for money. For the lack of £34 million in annual maintenance funding for 2022-23, more than 200,000 properties are at increased risk of flooding. At the same time, EA underspent by £310 million in the first two years of the capital programme. Neither Defra nor EA assessed whether using some of this underspend to meet the shortfall in its maintenance budget in 2022-23 would have provided better value for money than deferring it to later in the capital programme.

The government acknowledges that building new flood defences and maintaining existing ones is no longer enough and that a wider range of interventions is now needed to build resilience against increasing flood risk. Although the government's vision for flood resilience stretches to the year 2100 and EA has a number of strategic objectives for 2050, it has not set a target for the level of flood resilience it expects to achieve and has not mapped out any solid plans beyond 2026 to bridge the gap between its shorter-term actions and long-term objectives. This will make it difficult for the government to make rational and informed decisions about its priorities, measure its progress or plan effective investment for the long term.

Link to further information:

<https://www.nao.org.uk/reports/resilience-to-flooding/>

# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA)

### 5. PSAA announces the number of audit opinions completed for the 2022/23 audits (10 October 2023)

At the publishing date of 30 September 2023, only 5 out of 467 local government bodies' 2022/23 audit opinions have been given. This adds to the 456 that are outstanding from previous years.

Local government bodies are required to publish accounts with an auditor's certificate or opinion by 30 September or to explain the reasons for non-publication. At the publishing date of 30 September 2023, only 5 out of 467 local government bodies' 2022/23 audit opinions have been given. This adds to the 456 that are outstanding from previous years.

The cumulative position of 918 delayed audit opinions emphasises how important it is that there is a successful conclusion to the intensive ongoing work to find a solution to the backlog, and to ensure that it does not recur. PSAA is making every effort to support this work in collaboration with fellow members of the Local Audit Liaison Committee.

Steve Freer, PSAA's Chair said,

'The scale of the backlog of local audit opinions is becoming more and more serious. It is now very clear that an extraordinary intervention of some sort is urgently required to put the system back on track. Hopefully, current work to develop a solution can be concluded quickly, enabling details of the planned solution to be announced and implemented as soon as possible.

An important strand of any solution must be to address the root causes of so many delayed opinions so that following its implementation the delivery of timely opinions is firmly and permanently re-established.'

Link to further information:

<https://www.psa.co.uk/2023/10/psaa-announces-the-number-of-audit-opinions-completed-for-the-2022-23-audits/>

# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA)

### 6. PSAA October 2023 Update, 17 October 2023

This edition of the quarterly e-bulletin includes an update on the 2022/23 audit opinion delivery, news on our consultation on 2023/24 audit scale fees and a summary of local audit news from elsewhere.

Content:

- 2022/23 audit opinion delivery
- Update on our consultation on the fee scale for 2023/24 audits
- Additional information for 2022/23 audits
- Contract Monitoring Data Pack: Quarter 1 for 2023/24
- Latest Annual Report and Accounts published
- Local audit news from elsewhere

Link to further information:

<https://www.psa.co.uk/2023/10/october-2023-update/>



# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## Chartered Institute of Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA)

### 7. Section 114s: where are we headed next? 16 August 2023

Rob Whiteman, CIPFA CEO assesses the latest position on s114 notices (where formal action needs to be taken to balance a Council's finances), what has been done to prevent further s114 notices, whether more will occur and what the sector should do. This originally appeared as an article in the Municipal Journal on 31 July 2023.

This is an assessment of a very topical subject given the increasing number of s114 notices in recent times.

The term 'Section 114' refers to this section of the Local Government Finance Act 1988, part (3) of which sets out the duty of the chief finance officer (CFO) to "make a report under this section if it appears to him that the expenditure of the authority incurred (including expenses it proposes to occur) in a financial year is likely to exceed the resources (including sums borrowed) available to it to meet that expenditure".

Issuing the notice under Section 114(3) immediately suspends all financial activity apart from that which is necessary to maintain statutory duties; it also initiates a 21-day period for full council to consider the report and agree urgent action to start to remedy the situation.

CIPFA's guidance states that the authority's external auditors and the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) should also be notified and 'can step in to provide advice and support'.

These cases tend to reflect situations of financial failure and / or financial collapse. All Members of authorities need to be alert to how their organisation is managing its financial risks and taking steps to avoid any form of financial distress.

The insights in this article may be of particular interest to Members.

Link to further information:

<https://www.cipfa.org/cipfa-thinks/articles/section-114s-where-are-we-headed-next>

# NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

## Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC)

### 8. Municipal Journal Article by a Local Government Minister on Rebuilding Audit, 30 October 2023

An article by Lee Rowley MP in Municipal Journal and the most up-to-date statement at the current time of proposals to address the backlog of local government audits.

Quotes from this article include:

“The number of outstanding local audits dating back to 2015-16 is now too high and is likely to increase further without action.

To do that, we recognise there will be hard decisions. Our proposals include setting a series of statutory deadlines for account preparers and auditors to clear the backlog. I know the setting of ‘backstop’ dates may result in some qualifications and disclaimers of opinion in the short term.

As others have noted too, clearing the backlog can’t be our sole focus. The return to timely audits must be sustained as part of an effective system underpinned by proportionate financial reporting, auditing and regulatory requirements; we cannot resolve a backlog one day only to see it starting to build again the next.

So, the second big endeavour is to ensure future local authority accounting and audit activity strikes a balance between maintaining the highest standards of financial reporting and the fundamental, day to day purpose of audit – to provide financial information and general assurance which is useful for taxpayers and others. That is why when it comes to debates on issues such as the accounting requirements for infrastructure assets, we need to consider our approach carefully. In the meantime, the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities will seek to extend the legislative changes made in this area last year while the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) explores longer-term changes to reporting requirements for non-investment assets and pension valuations.”

Link to the full article:

<https://www.themj.co.uk/Rebuilding-audit/233116#>

# Contact

## Mazars

Alastair Newall – Director, Public and Social Sector

Email: [Alastair.newall@mazars.co.uk](mailto:Alastair.newall@mazars.co.uk)

Manager: Abi Medic

Email: [abi.medic@mazars.co.uk](mailto:abi.medic@mazars.co.uk)

Mazars is an internationally integrated partnership, specialising in audit, accountancy, advisory, tax and legal services\*. Operating in over 90 countries and territories around the world, we draw on the expertise of 40,400 professionals – 24,400 in Mazars' integrated partnership and 16,000 via the Mazars North America Alliance – to assist clients of all sizes at every stage in their development.

\*where permitted under applicable country laws.

[www.mazars.com](http://www.mazars.com)

# Follow us:

## LinkedIn:

[www.linkedin.com/company/Mazars](http://www.linkedin.com/company/Mazars)

## Twitter:

[www.twitter.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.twitter.com/MazarsGroup)

## Facebook:

[www.facebook.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.facebook.com/MazarsGroup)

## Instagram:

[www.instagram.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.instagram.com/MazarsGroup)

## WeChat:

ID: Mazars